

Minutes of Radstock and Westfield Big Local CIC Meeting 18th March 2021 9.30am via Zoom

Ron Hopkins, Julian Mellor, Marlene Morley, Robin Moss, Rob Wicke, Janine Woodward-Grant.

• **Present:** Rob Wicke, Robin Moss, Julian Mellor, Ron Hopkins, Marlene Morley, Janine Woodward-Grant. Jon Fisher – local trust

• Chair: Robin Moss

• Note taker: Angie Seaman-Moss

1. Welcome and meeting opened.		
Meeting is quorate.		
2. Declarations of interest		
There were no declarations of interest.		
	Person	Action
		Date
3. Approval of previous minutes	1	
Minutes from meeting held on 18 th February 2021 were noted and		
approved.		
4. Actions from previous minutes	1	
Action Log looked at and discussed.		
4.1 Ongoing		
4.6 Ongoing. Still awaiting some reports, RW continues to follow up.		
5.1 Options for Hope House Building. This will be discussed in a later		
agenda item.		
6.1 The food project finished at the end of February and people		
accessing this have been signposted to other projects and providers in		
the local area.		
7.1 complete		
8.3 JM reported that there is no possibility of an extension to the		
program.		
8.4 JM continues to investigate. JM highlighted that the upcoming		
South West event will be looking at legacy statements.		
9.1 JWG reported that an email has been received. JWG also		
reported on the contents and difficulties still being experienced.		
RM reported that he brought up the difficulties we are experiencing,		
at an online meeting for chairs, but no support or solution was given.		
It was requested that JM continue to bring up the point of admin and	JM	
the difficulties experienced, on our behalf.		
10.1 RW reported that WPC are buying the Waterside valley, but that		
Big Local was not able to contribute to this in the short timeframe. It		
was generally felt that it would be good if Big Local could contribute		
somehow. JM –There may well be community projects related to		
Waterside Valley in the future, that we could have some involvement		
in.		
RH – There will be an additional piece of land that will be available in		

Community-led - Community Development - Community Investment		
the future.		
5. Hope House		
The new building works are progressing well. £100k has been paid.		
Old Hope House Building- There is a pre application for housing for		
this site.		
At the partnership meet and mingle meeting projects were discussed		
eg. Foyer project or homeless support. The Partnership are not		
entirely happy with these options.		
RM commented that there is no housing needs survey for this area at		
present, but maybe this is something Big Local could undertake.		
MM-commented that a housing needs survey for our area, would be		
really useful information. The Journal reported that the Methodist		
church could close, which could also mean this building could be		
potential development.		
RM-It is important to keep an eye on these developments.		
JM- Community Led housing West – we could re-open discussions and		
produce a plan of costings and bring this back to the partnership/CIC.		
RM-How can we share the housing needs survey information and		
generate some income to assist with the costs that will be incurred		
from undertaking such a piece of work?		
RH- not entirely sure of the benefits of doing this kind of survey.		
Action		
RW- to investigate time needed, cost and benefits analysis of doing	RW	
this survey.		
6. COVID response		
RW reported that the Hot meals service finished in February and		
people have been signposted to other organisations for support.		
Fosseway school exercise equipment – will have a quote ready this		
month.		
At Mary's school are doing their quote at present.		
7. Projects		
Information was circulated prior to the meeting.		
Small Fund – community food network. RM – this is a good project to		
be seen to be supporting, but not specifically targeted. It is revenue		
funded and there is no specific information on how this project will		
sustain funding for the future.		
sustain funding for the future.		
sustain funding for the future. RH- questioned why this is needed, and why people are not being		
sustain funding for the future. RH- questioned why this is needed, and why people are not being directed to existing providers and food banks.		
sustain funding for the future. RH- questioned why this is needed, and why people are not being directed to existing providers and food banks. JWG- commented, that she is not entirely happy with this project		
sustain funding for the future. RH- questioned why this is needed, and why people are not being directed to existing providers and food banks. JWG- commented, that she is not entirely happy with this project proposal, as there are successful projects in the area already ie. The		
sustain funding for the future. RH- questioned why this is needed, and why people are not being directed to existing providers and food banks. JWG- commented, that she is not entirely happy with this project proposal, as there are successful projects in the area already ie. The food bank. However this is a community led project, but there are		
sustain funding for the future. RH- questioned why this is needed, and why people are not being directed to existing providers and food banks. JWG- commented, that she is not entirely happy with this project proposal, as there are successful projects in the area already ie. The food bank. However this is a community led project, but there are other options available. There has been no communication with the		
sustain funding for the future. RH- questioned why this is needed, and why people are not being directed to existing providers and food banks. JWG- commented, that she is not entirely happy with this project proposal, as there are successful projects in the area already ie. The food bank. However this is a community led project, but there are other options available. There has been no communication with the local food bank from this organisation.		
sustain funding for the future. RH- questioned why this is needed, and why people are not being directed to existing providers and food banks. JWG- commented, that she is not entirely happy with this project proposal, as there are successful projects in the area already ie. The food bank. However this is a community led project, but there are other options available. There has been no communication with the local food bank from this organisation. JM read sections of the proposal from the project, to try to explain the	·	
sustain funding for the future. RH- questioned why this is needed, and why people are not being directed to existing providers and food banks. JWG- commented, that she is not entirely happy with this project proposal, as there are successful projects in the area already ie. The food bank. However this is a community led project, but there are other options available. There has been no communication with the local food bank from this organisation. JM read sections of the proposal from the project, to try to explain the gap in need and access. Some people will exploit this, but need to	:	

Community lied - Community Development - Community Investment		
identify gaps. RH- Requested that we ask the applicant to give us examples of the communications they have had with the local food bank, and any problems with communicating. JWG- has concerns about communication and time frame. If the food bank loses support, then it will close, which would create a problem in the area in the long term. RW- has a list of similar projects. JF commented about dependency and how they intend to continue funding this project for the future. RW- voiced concerns re: distribution centre, which is currently in Midsomer Norton, which is not our area. JM-They will need to ensure that this is distributed in Radstock and Westfield. Summary It was thought this project is generally a good idea. Ensure the best communication with the local Food Bank. Community Food Network to provide information of their communications with the local Food Bank. There are questions on co-ordination with the food bank and how they can assure us of a Radstock and Westfield based provision.	RW	
8. Plan 3		
RW reported on the meet and mingle partnership meeting, and that the statements generated will be added to the legacy statement. Feedback so far is generally supportive. This information will go into the plan 3 draft, as well as background stats. At the next CIC meeting we will discuss what to recommend to the partnership. Thanks given to RW for all the hard work done.		
Order to the first of the field work work.		
9. Finances	I	
Thanks given to JWG for all the work on finances. RM asked what money we are waiting for from local trust? JWG outlined the finances and money requested.		
10. AOB		
Local Trust events and workshops can be found on https://localtrust.org.uk/big-local/events/ highlighted and noted.		
RW new work mobile number 07901950114		
3SG membership is due April 1 st of £25. It was agreed to continue with this membership.		
JM – 25 th March Green spaces meeting 29 th March South West meeting.		



JWG- requested a time change for the June 17 th meeting as it clashed	
with the 3SG meeting on the same date. It was agreed to hold this	
meeting at 1.30pm.	
RM- reported that government guidance information on virtual	
meetings is; End of ability to hold virtual meetings is on 7 th May.	
Where do we stand?	
JM- we need to be compliant with the law, but thought local trust	
meetings will continue until the end of September.	
MM- Dept for Education report, no face to face meetings until 21st	
June.	
JM- the challenge is how to have a blend of face to face and on line.	
Dates for the diary:	
CIC board meetings: Via Zoom, Thursdays at 9.30am	
Thursdays April 15 th , May 20 th , June 17 th (time change to 1.30pm)	
July 15 th , August 19 th , September 16 th , October 21 st , November	
18 th .	
Partnership meetings: Wednesdays 10.30 to 11.30am	
May 12th , July 14th , Sept 8 th	
25 th March Green spaces meeting.	
29 th March South West meeting.	
Meeting closed at 10.40am	
Signature Date	